

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,886	04/13/2004	David Michael Glenn	4829A(CIP)	4008
27887 7590 06/29/2009 FENNEMORE CRAIG			EXAMINER	
3003 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE			ARNOLD, ERNST V	
SUITE 2600 PHOENIX, A	Z 85012		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1110111111111111	3 00012		1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/822,886		GLENN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ERNST V. ARNOLD	1616	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED	18 June 2009 FAILS	TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN	CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
-----------------	--------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------

- 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 - a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

- 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 - (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
 - The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
 - Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:
 - Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-11,46,47,50-54 and 66-73.
 - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 12.14-22.59.60 and 63-65.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616

- 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: .

/Johann R. Richter/

6/28/09

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1) not all of the rejections have been overcome by the amendments; and 2) Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. While the new matter rejection over the film thickness ranges and 112 second paragraph rejections appear to be corrected by the amendment, the new matter rejection over "mixtures thereof" is maintained. Applicant arguments that the plural of particle material (the particulate materials) indicates that the inventor was possession of "mixtures thereof". The Examiner cannot agree. There are more than one particulate material recited, hence particulate materials, and combinations of those materials is not disclosed. Applicant's arguments concerning the rejection under 35 USC 103(a) are son to presuasive. Applicant has not demonstrated the criticality of having colored particles in the composition and the art teaches the equivalence of iron oxide and titanium oxide. Furthermore, Applicant states that "colored particles are pigments" and then states: "these references teach dyes, and they teach a variety of white pigments" (page 13 of Remarks). This is confusing because on the one hand it appears Applicant has argued that white is not accolor (see Remarks filed on 121/2108, page 16 the where Applicant states: "Applicants respectfully quest the Examiner concede that (t)lanium dioxide is white and that white is not "coloredt".") but on the other hand Applicant appears to be admitting the white is pigment and hence a color. These arguments are not resususive, no unexpected data has been presented and the claims remain rejected.